

**OREGON HEALTH FUND BOARD (OHFB)
DELIVERY SYSTEM COMMITTEE QUALITY INSTITUTE WORKGROUP**

February 27, 2008
1:00 p.m. – 5:00 p.m.
Digitally Recorded

Northwest Health Foundation – Bamboo Room
221 NW Second Ave Suite 300
Portland, OR

MEMBERS PRESENT: Maribeth Healey, Vice-Chair
Jim Dameron
Gwen Dayton
Glenn Rodríguez, MD
Kathy Savicki
Brett C. Sheppard, MD
Maureen Wright, MD
Mike Williams

MEMBERS EXCUSED: Richard Cohen
Bob Johnson
Gil Muñoz
Vickie Gates, Chair
Ralph Prows, MD
Nancy Clarke

STAFF PRESENT: Tina Edlund, Deputy Administrator, OHPR
Zarie Haverkate, Communications Coordinator, OHPR
Alyssa Holmgren, Policy Analyst, OHFB
Sean Kolmer, Data and Research Manager, OHPR
Jeanene Smith, Administrator, OHPR
Barney Speight, Director, OHFB
Ilana Weinbaum, Policy Analyst, OHFB

OTHERS ATTENDING: Carol Turner, Facilitator

ISSUES HEARD:

- Call to Order, Introductions and Approval of 02/05/08 Minutes
- Review Outcomes, ground rules for meeting
- Confirm Problem Statement/QI Roles
- Review Models for Governance/Funding
- Identify Pending Issues
- Next Steps
- Public Testimony

These minutes are in compliance with Legislative Rules. Only text enclosed in italicized quotation marks reports a speaker's exact words. For complete contents, please refer to the recordings.

**Digitally Recorded
Vice Chair Healey**

- I. **Call to order, Introductions and Approval of 2/05 Minutes.**
 - There is no quorum.
 - Minutes from the 2/05 meeting were not ready for work group approval.

Due to no quorum, public testimony was heard. See item X.

A quorum was obtained with arrival of Committee members Sheppard and Rodriguez.

Carol Turner

II. Review outcomes, ground rules for meeting

Reviewed meeting timelines.

Vice Chair Healey
And Carol Turner

III. Confirm Problem Statement/QI roles

- Updated Roles for Quality Institute (**See Exhibit Materials 5 and 7**). Discussion of first paragraph of *Systematic Measurement of Quality* (bottom of page 1)
 - Discussion on appropriateness of Quality Institute (QI) addressing cost. Some members questioned whether that is the charge of this institute. (**See Exhibit Materials 7**).
 - Agreement obtained on the value equation: Value = quality/cost.
 - Members pointed to fact that efficiency is part of the Institute of Medicine's (IOM) definition of quality already endorsed by the Work Group.
 - Staff presented three potential options for dealing with the issue of cost language under role related to *Systematic Measurement of Quality*. (**See Exhibit Materials 7 for three language options**). Straw poll was taken for three options and group agreed to revisit the issue at the next meeting.
- Short discussion over other updates to QI roles members had suggested since the last meeting, with a decision to revisit the document in March when more members were present.
- Discussed need for overarching role statement.

Carol Turner and
Staff

IV. Review Models for Governance/Funding (See Exhibit Materials 6 and 7)

Staff overview the materials.

- Committee reviewed chart comparing public, public-private, and private models and Committee discussed pros and cons of each type of model (**See Exhibit Materials 6 and 7**).
- Committee discussed structure and governance, statutory authority, funding, staffing and main functions of several existing public, public-private hybrid and private organizations (**See Exhibit Materials 6 and 7**).

Carol Turner

V. Confirm Recommended Structure

- There was general agreement that a public corporation with a public charter would give the QI a strong mission and legitimacy, but offer more flexibility.
- Group discussed the various audiences for the work of the QI – providers, purchasers and consumers – and the need to prioritize multiple roles. Group reached general consensus that the QI should focus on providers first and then move to purchasers and consumers (**See Exhibit Materials 7**).
- Group started work on basic change logic model for the QI.

Carol Turner

VI. Identify Pending Issues

Reviewed Draft Assumptions from 02/05/08 meeting
(See Exhibit Materials 2).

Vice Chair Healey

VII. Next steps

- Final confirmation of Roles for the Quality Institute at next meeting. Staff will work on crafting draft overarching role.
- Confirm QI governance, structure and funding at next meeting.
- Staff review panel consisting of Tina Edlund and Ilana Weinbaum from staff and Glenn Rodriguez, Maureen Wright and Brett Sheppard of the Committee will bring back draft logic model.
- Staff will begin to draft QI Report to the Delivery Committee.

Vice Chair Healey

X. Public Testimony

- Van Ellet, AARP who is involved with several Coalitions and was involved with California reform proposal provided input about the importance of transparency and independence, evidenced-based care and data reporting. Written testimony submitted. Committee questions and discussion on transparency efforts in California, reporting by other states, patient registries and the delicate balance between allowing for innovative care and relying on evidenced-based care guidelines. There is no AARP definition of transparency. Discussion on IT and data collection.

Vice Chair Healey

XI. Adjourn

Meeting adjourned at approximately 5:00 p.m.

Next meeting is February 27, 2008.

Submitted by:

Paula Hird
Office Specialist

Reviewed by:

Ilana Weinbaum
Policy Analyst

EXHIBIT SUMMARY

1. Draft Minutes from 2/5/08 Meeting
2. Revised Assumptions
3. Revised Problem Statement
4. Crossing the Quality Chasm, Appendix B: Redesigning health Care with Insights from the Science of Complex Adaptive Systems
5. Updated Roles for Quality Institute
6. Possible Models for a Quality Institute
7. Flip Chart Notes for 2/27/08 Meeting